20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 SYNOPSYS, INC., No. C-03-2289 MJJ (EMC) No. C-03-4669 MJJ (EMC) 9 Plaintiff, 10 AMENDED ORDER RE MOTION FOR v. PROTECTIVE ORDER RE KOWALSKI 11 RICOH CO., LTD., DEPOSITION (Docket No. 159 in No. C-03-2289; Docket Defendant. 12 No. 227 in No. C-03-4669) 13 RICOH CO., LTD., 14 Plaintiff, 15 v. 16 AEROFLEX, et al., 17 Defendants. 18 19

This order amends the Court's previous order, issued on July 28, 2004, regarding the motion for protective order. See Docket No. 163 in No. C-03-2289; Docket No. 231 in No. C-03-4669.

First, the caption in the Court's previous order contained a clerical error. The caption should have read "Order Granting Motion for Protective Order" rather than "Order Denying Motion for Protective Order."

Second, the parties filed a letter on July 28, 2004, asking for clarification of the Court's intent with respect to the production of documents from Dr. Kowalski. See Docket No. 164 in No. C-03-2289; Docket No. 232 in No. C-03-4669. (The Kowalski subpoena sought production of documents by July 30, 2004.) To clarify, by granting the motion for protective order, the Court intended that the deposition of

I	Case 5:03-cv-04669-JW	Document 233	Filed 07/28/2004	Page 2 of 2
1	Dr. Kowalski should not proce	eed <i>and</i> that the docur	nents sought from Dr. K	Kowalski in the subpoena
2	should not be produced.			1
3	and the see produced.			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
5				
6	Dated: July 28, 2004			
7	Buted. 341y 20, 2001			·N
8			United States Magis	trate Judge
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				